

CLASSROOM TEACHER RATING FORM

PDE 82-1 (4/13)

Last Name	Androscopic	First	Andrew	Middle	J
District/LEA	Crawford Central School District	School	Meadville Area Senior High School		
Rating Date	10/8/2014	Evaluation (Check One)	<input type="checkbox"/> Periodic	<input type="checkbox"/> Semi-annual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual

(A) Teacher Observation and Practice

Domain	Title	*Rating* (A)	Factor (B)	Earned Points (A x B)	Max Points
I.	Planning & Preparation	3	20%	0.60	0.60
II.	Classroom Environment	3	30%	0.90	0.90
III.	Instruction	3	30%	0.90	0.90
IV.	Professional Responsibilities	3	20%	0.60	0.60
(1) Teacher Observation & Practice Rating				3.00	3.00

Domain Rating Assignment 0 to 3 Point Scale (A)	
Rating	Value
Failing	0
Needs Improvement	1
Proficient	2
Distinguished	3

(B) Student Performance - Building Level Data, Teacher Specific Data, and Elective Data

Building Level Score (0 - 107)	70.9
(2) Building Level Score Converted to 3 Point Rating	1.54

(3) Teacher Specific Rating	3.00
(4) Elective Rating	3.00

(C) Final Teacher Effectiveness Rating - All Measures

Measure	Rating (C)	Factor (D)	Earned Points	Max Points
(1) Teacher Observation & Practice Rating	3.00	50%	1.50	1.50
(2) Building Level Rating	1.54	15%	0.23	0.45
(3) Teacher Specific Rating	3.00	15%	0.45	0.45
(4) Elective Rating	3.00	20%	0.60	0.60
Total Earned Points			2.78	3.00

Conversion to Performance Rating	
Total Earned Points	Rating
0.00 - 0.49	Failing
0.50 - 1.49	Needs Improvement
1.50 - 2.49	Proficient
2.50 - 3.00	Distinguished
Performance Rating	Distinguished

Rating: Professional Employee, OR Rating: Temporary Professional Employee

I certify that the above-named employee for the period beginning 8/26/2013 and ending 6/12/2014 has received a performance rating of: (month/day/year) (month/day/year)

Distinguished Proficient Needs Improvement Failing

resulting in a final rating of:

Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

A performance rating of Distinguished, Proficient or Needs Improvement shall be considered satisfactory, except that the second Needs Improvement rating issued by the same employer within 10 years of the first final rating of Needs Improvement where the employee is in the same certification shall be considered unsatisfactory. A rating of Failing shall be considered unsatisfactory.

10/17/14
Date

[Signature]
Designated Rater / Position: PRINCIPAL

Date

Chief School Administrator

I acknowledge that I have read the report and that I have been given an opportunity to discuss it with the rater. My signature does not necessarily mean that I agree with the performance evaluation.

10-17-14
Date

[Signature]
Signature of Employee